· WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT Weather Conditions: Yes No Notes CCR Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR \$257.84) Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing 2 Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations? Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations. CCR Fugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4)) Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required. Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresents) prior to delivery to landfill? 6_ If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation? フ. Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on Izndfill access roads? 8_ Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below. 9_ Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below. IO_ Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question 11 Were the citizen complaints logged?

Additional Notes:	•
	<u> </u>
	•
-	
	<u> - </u>

WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT SKB LANSING LANDFILL

Date:_	12-12-25 Inspector Olm	dis	7-27	_	
Time:	10:15 Weather Conditions: - 3	i noy			
	·	Yes	No		Notes
CCRI	andfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR 5257.8	8 <u>4</u> 9			
I_	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or	П			
1	localized settlement observed on the	<u>'</u> †		1	
-	sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing	.	1		
<u></u>	CCR?				
2	Were conditions observed within the cells				
	containing CCR or within the general landfill	.]		- 1	
	operations that represent a potential disruption				
	to ongoing CCR management operations?				
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or	į.		1	
	within the general landfill operations that	f			
	represent a potential disruption of the safety of		1		
	the CCR management operations.			7	
CCRF	gitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257-80(b)((4))			
4_	Was CCR received during the reporting				
	period? If answer is no, no additional		1 1		
	information required		1	1	
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust				
	suppresents) prior to delivery to landfill?			}	
6_	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR	•			
	conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to			1	•
	landfill working face, or was the CCR not				
	susceptable to fugitive dust generation?			ł	
7.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on				
	Iandfill access roads?			1	-
8_	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the			-	
	landfill? If the answer is yes, describe			-	
	corrective action measures below.			1	-
9_	Are current CCR fugitive dust control			 	
	measures effective? If the answer is no,	1			1
	describe recommended changes below.		i		1
10_	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen	-			
	complaints received during the reporting		·		
	period? If the answer is yes, answer question				
	Were the citizen complaints logged?				•

Q:\Waste Connections\Lansing\CCR Flan Final\Weekly Inspection Form 10_2015 xlsx

Additional Notes:

WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT
SKB VANSING LANDFILL

- 2 3 Inspector Weather Conditions: Yes Notes CCR Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR 5257-84) Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing 2 Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations? Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations. CCR Fugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257-80(b)(4)) Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required. Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust 5. suppresents) prior to delivery to landfill? 6_ If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation? 7. Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on Iandfill access roads? 8_ Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below. 9. Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below. Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question 11. Were the citizen complaints logged? Additional Notes:

Q:\Waste Connections\Lansing\CCR Flan Final\Weekly Inspection Form 10_2015 xlsx